Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/542,549	LILEY, DAVID T	IBOR JULIAN
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NAVIN NATNITHITHADHA	3735	
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) <u>NAVIN NATNITHITHADHA</u> .	(3)		
(2) Josef Hoffman (Applicant's Representative).	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>15 December 2010</u>	Time: <u>3:15 pm</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. 101			
Claims discussed: 1-17, 23, 24, and 26			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/Navin Natnithithadha/ Examiner, Art Unit 3735	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 1-17, 23, 24, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter because these claims are method or process claims that do not transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing, nor are they tied to a particular machine. Examiner and Applicant's Representative agreed to a proposed Examiner's Amendment to claims 1, 3, 4, and 7 to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection.